

SOME CONGRUENCES FOR SIEGEL THETA SERIES

RAINER SCHULZE-PILLOT

ABSTRACT. We discuss an arithmetic approach to some congruence properties of Siegel theta series of even positive definite unimodular quadratic forms.

1. INTRODUCTION.

There has recently been some interest in congruence properties of Siegel modular forms. In particular, following Böcherer and Nagaoka [2], Siegel modular forms f with p -integral Fourier coefficients have been considered for which $\Theta(f) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for some prime p . Here for a Siegel modular form f with Fourier expansion

$$f(Z) = \sum a(f, T) \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ))$$

for Z in the Siegel upper half space

$$\mathfrak{H}_n = \{Z \in M_n^{\operatorname{sym}}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{Im}(Z) \text{ positive definite}\}$$

one puts

$$\Theta(f)(Z) = \sum \det(T) a(f, T) \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ)).$$

The map $f \mapsto \Theta(f)$ is called the theta operator, and one writes $\Theta(f) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ if all the coefficients $\det(T) a(f, T)$ of $\Theta(f)$ are in the maximal ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of the local ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ at p .

In this note we show that unimodular lattices with an automorphism of order p give a quite natural series of examples for this behavior. In particular, we recover the recent result of Nagaoka and Takemori from [8] for the theta series of the Leech lattice.

I thank S. Böcherer for telling me about the problem and S. Nagaoka and S. Takemori for showing me their preprint [8].

2. FIXED SPACE DECOMPOSITION OF LATTICES WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF PRIME ORDER

In this section we recall and modify some results from [6, 11] (see also [9, Section 4]) and fix some notations for the rest of the article.

Let V be an m -dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space with positive definite quadratic form q and associated symmetric bilinear form $b(x, y) = q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$. For an r -tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in V^r$ we denote by $G_b(\mathbf{x})$ its Gram matrix $(b(x_i, x_j)) \in M_r^{\operatorname{sym}}(\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to b and write $q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}G_b(\mathbf{x})$. The determinant $\det(M')$ of a lattice M' of rank r in V with $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is $\det(G_b(\mathbf{x}))$. By $O(V, q)$ resp. $O(M, q)$ we denote the group of isometries of V resp. of a lattice M of full rank m on V onto itself with respect to q .

Let M be an integral lattice with respect to q (i.e., $q(M) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$) on V with an automorphism $\sigma \in O(M, q)$ of order p , where $p \neq 2$ is a prime. We recall that the

lattice M is called decomposable if it is an orthogonal sum of proper sublattices and that the decomposition of M into such a sum (if it exists) is unique [5, Satz 2],[7].

We denote by $\Pi = \langle \sigma \rangle$ the cyclic group generated by σ and consider M as a module over the group ring $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Pi]$.

We consider the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear embedding

$$\iota : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$$

given by $\iota(\sigma^i) = (1, \zeta_p^i)$; it is clearly an embedding of rings, which extends to an isomorphism

$$\iota : \mathbb{Q}[\Pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p).$$

We have then

$$\iota\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_i \sigma^i\right) = \left(a, \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i \zeta^i\right)$$

with

$$p\alpha_0 = a + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i \zeta^i\right)$$

and $\alpha_i = \beta_i + \alpha_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

In particular we have $I := p\mathbb{Z} \oplus (1 - \zeta)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \subseteq \iota(\Lambda)$. We will identify Λ and $\iota(\Lambda)$ in the sequel.

We denote by V_0 the fixed space of σ in V and split $V = V_0 \perp V_1$, so that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma^j|_{V_1} = 0.$$

The $\mathbb{Q}[\Pi]$ -module V_1 can then be viewed via

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^{p-1} + \dots + 1)$$

as a $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ -vector space. In particular we notice

Lemma 2.1. *The dimension of V_1 as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible by $p-1$.*

Moreover, $(a, b) = (a, \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i \zeta^i) \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[\Pi]$ acts on V_0 by multiplication with a , on V_1 by multiplication with b , again identifying $\mathbb{Q}[\Pi] = \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ via ι .

Lemma 2.2. *The lattice $\Gamma M \subseteq V$ splits as $\Gamma M = \tilde{M}_0 \oplus \tilde{M}_1$, where $\tilde{M}_i = \pi_i(M)$ is the orthogonal projection of M onto V_i ($i = 0, 1$).*

Proof. The splitting $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ induces corresponding splittings of V and ΓM . In view of the remarks above on the action of $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ on V_0 and V_1 we see that the splitting of V is indeed the splitting $V = V_0 \perp V_1$, we write $\Gamma M = \tilde{M}_0 \oplus \tilde{M}_1$. For $m_0 \in \tilde{M}_0$ we can write $m_0 = (1, 0) \cdot m$ with $m \in M$, then $m_1 = (0, 1)m \in \tilde{M}_1$ gives $m = m_0 + m_1$, so $m_0 = \pi_0(m) \in \pi_0(M)$, in the same way we see $\tilde{M}_1 \subseteq \pi_1(M)$. Conversely, given $m_i \in \pi_i(M)$, $m_i = \pi_i(m)$ with $m \in M$, we have $m_i = \epsilon_i m$, where $\epsilon_0 = (1, 0)$, $\epsilon_1 = (0, 1) \in \Gamma$ are the orthogonal idempotents of Γ . $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *With the notation as above and $M_i = M \cap V_i$ one has*

$$p\tilde{M}_i \subseteq M_i \subseteq \tilde{M}_i \subseteq M_i^\# \text{ for } i = 0, 1,$$

where $M_i^\# = \{x \in V_i \mid b(x, M_i) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}$ denotes the dual lattice of M_i with respect to the symmetric bilinear form b .

Proof. $p\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda$ implies $p\tilde{M}_i \subseteq p\Gamma M \subseteq \Lambda M = M$ for $i = 0, 1$, so $p\tilde{M}_i \subseteq M \cap V_i = M_i$. $M_i \subseteq M = \Lambda M \subseteq \Gamma M$ implies $M_i \subseteq \tilde{M}_i$. The inclusion $\tilde{M}_i \subseteq M_i^\#$ is obvious. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *With the notation as above one has $M = M_0 \perp M_1$ or M_0 has determinant divisible by p .*

Proof. If $M \neq M_0 \perp M_1$ one has $M_0 \subsetneq \tilde{M}_0$, and $(\tilde{M}_0 : M_0)$ is a positive power of p by $p\tilde{M}_0 \subseteq M_0 \subseteq \tilde{M}_0$. But then $\det(M_0) = (M_0^\# : M_0)$ is also divisible by a positive power of p . $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *With notation as above and $m_i = \dim V_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) one has: If M is indecomposable or decomposable with no proper orthogonal summand of rank m_0 and determinant prime to p , the σ -fixed sublattice $M_0 = M \cap V_0$ of M has determinant divisible by p .*

Proof. Clear from the lemmas above. $\square$

3. CONGRUENCES OF THETA SERIES.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (V, q) be a positive definite m -dimensional quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$, let M be a q -integral lattice on V which is unimodular with respect to b (also called an even unimodular lattice with respect to the symmetric bilinear form b). Assume that M has an automorphism $\sigma \in O(M, q)$ of odd prime order p , let $V_0 \subseteq V$ be the fixed space of σ , $m_0 = \dim V_0$, let (for $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_{m_0}$)*

$$\begin{aligned} F_M(Z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_{m_0}) \in M^{m_0}} \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(q(\mathbf{x})Z)) \\ &= \sum_{T \in M_{m_0}^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{Z})} A(M, T) \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(TZ)) \in M_{m/2}(Sp_{m_0}(\mathbb{Z})) \end{aligned}$$

denote the degree m_0 theta series of (M, q) .

Write (as in [2])

$$\Theta F_M(Z) = \sum_T \det(T) A(M, T) \exp(2\pi i \text{tr}(TZ)).$$

Then $\Theta F_M \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ unless M is decomposable (into an orthogonal sum of sublattices) and has a proper orthogonal summand of rank m_0 .

In particular, if m_0 is not divisible by 8, ΘF_M is congruent to zero modulo p .

Proof. If $T \in M_{m_0}^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a positive definite matrix with $A(M, T) \neq 0$, there are $x_1, \dots, x_{m_0} \in M$ linearly independent with $b(x_i, x_j) = 2t_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m_0$). The set of such m_0 -tuples $x_1, \dots, x_{m_0}$ can be split up into Π -orbits, where each non-trivial orbit has length divisible by p . Orbits with one element (if they exist) consist of tuples $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{m_0}) \in M_0^{m_0}$ with Gram matrix $G_b(\mathbf{x}) = 2T$, and for any tuple $\mathbf{x} \in M_0^{m_0}$ the determinant of the Gram matrix $G_b(\mathbf{x})$ is divisible by the determinant of M_0 . If the exceptional conditions given in the theorem are not satisfied, $\det M_0$ is divisible by p , so the assertion follows. $\square$

Examples 3.2. a) *The Leech lattice has automorphisms of orders 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 23. Of these, the automorphisms of order 11 and 23 do not act fixed point free. Since the Leech lattice is indecomposable, its theta series F of degree m_0 satisfies $\Theta F \equiv 0 \pmod p$ for $p = 11$ and for $p = 23$. For $p = 23$ we have $m_0 = 2$, and it is easily seen that the theta series of degree 2 itself has non-degenerate Fourier coefficients which are not divisible by 23 (the automorphism group of the binary fixed lattice M_0 has order not divisible by 23). In fact, from [11] one sees that M_0 has Gram matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $p = 11$, inspection of the character table of the group Co_1 in [4] shows that $m_0 = 4$ holds in this case. Since the order of the automorphism group of a lattice of rank 4 can not be divisible by 11, it is again clear that the theta series of degree 4 has non degenerate Fourier coefficients not divisible by 11 and that 4 is the largest degree in which the theta series has this property (whereas the theta series of degree 5 or higher is singular mod p in the terminology of [3]).

Ozeki [10] has recently computed part of the degree 4 theta series of the Leech lattice, he found that the Gram matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

of determinant 121 (belonging to the unique non-principal ideal for a maximal order in the quaternion algebra ramified at ∞ and 11) is represented 12599323656192000 times by the Leech lattice (this number is equal to $1/660$ times the order of the automorphism group Co_0 of the Leech lattice). Since this representation number is not divisible by 11 the lattice generated by a set of representing vectors must be contained in the fixed lattice M_0 of an automorphism of order 11, and since this lattice is maximal, it must be equal to M_0 . We see that the Gram matrix above is indeed associated to the fixed lattice of such an automorphism, and the degree 4 theta series of the Leech lattice is congruent modulo 11 to the theta series of degree 4 of this quaternary lattice.

- b) *The automorphisms of order 13 of the Leech lattice act (see again the character table in the atlas) fixed point free. If we put $M = M_0 \perp M_1$, where M_0 is the E_8 -lattice and M_1 is the Leech lattice, we have $m_0 = 8$, and the degree 8 theta series F of M has Fourier coefficient $|O(E_8)|$ at the Gram matrix T of the E_8 -lattice, so this coefficient, which is not divisible by 13, also appears in ΘF .*
- c) *Let M_1 be any even unimodular positive definite lattice having an automorphism of order p (where $p \neq 2$ is prime) which acts fixed point free and let M_0 be any positive definite even unimodular lattice of rank m_0 whose automorphism group is trivial (such lattices are known to exist if the rank m_0 is at least 144 ([1])). Then m_0 is the largest degree in which the theta series of $M = M_0 \perp M_1$ is not singular modulo p and the degree m_0 theta series of M is not annihilated by the theta operator.*

Remark 3.3. *The degree m_0 of the theta series considered in Theorem 3.1 is the maximal degree for which the non-degenerate Fourier coefficients are not forced to be divisible by the prime p (so that the theta series of degree $m_0 + 1$ and higher are singular mod p).*

Remark 3.4. *Theorem 2.5 allows to give a modified version of the above theorem also for the action of the theta operator on theta series of non-unimodular lattices. One has then:*

$\Theta F_M \equiv 0 \pmod p$ unless M is decomposable (into an orthogonal sum of sublattices) and has a proper orthogonal summand of rank m_0 and determinant prime to p .

Since this formulation loses the simple criterion on the dimension m_0 of the fixed space and since most of the other work on this type of problem has been concerned with Siegel modular forms for the full modular group and hence with even unimodular lattices, we chose to concentrate on this case in the Theorem above.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bannai: Positive definite unimodular lattices with trivial automorphism groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1990), no. 429
- [2] S. Böcherer, S. Nagaoka: On mod p properties of Siegel modular forms, Mathematische Annalen 338 (2007), 421–433.
- [3] S. Böcherer, T. Kikuta: On mod p singular modular forms, Preprint 2013.
- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson: Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985
- [5] M. Eichler: Note zur Theorie der Kristallgitter, Math. Ann. 125 (1952), 51–55.
- [6] I. Hambleton, C. Riehm: Splitting of Hermitian forms over group rings, Invent. Math. 45 (1978), 19–33
- [7] M. Kneser: Zur Theorie der Kristallgitter, Math. Ann. 127 (1954), 105–106.
- [8] S. Nagaoka, S. Takemori: On theta series attached to the Leech lattice, Preprint 2014
- [9] G. Nebe: On automorphisms of extremal even unimodular lattices, Int. J. Number Theory 9 (2013), 1933–1959
- [10] M. Ozeki: Siegel theta series of various degrees of the Leech lattice, Kyushu J. Math. 68 (2014), 63–91
- [11] H.-G. Quebbemann: Zur Klassifikation unimodularer Gitter mit Isometrie von Primzahlordnung. J. Reine Angew. Math. 326 (1981)

Rainer Schulze-Pillot

Fachrichtung 6.1 Mathematik, Universität des Saarlandes (Geb. E2.4)

Postfach 151150, 66041 Saarbrücken, Germany

email: schulzep@math.uni-sb.de